

Appl. No. 09/940,599
Response dated February 12, 2004
Reply to Office Action of January 13, 2004

REMARKS

This paper is response to the Restriction Requirement in which the Examiner requests election of the claims that read on the elected embodiment, and clarification of the election made. Applicants hereby elect Invention XII as illustrated in Figs. 26A-C without traverse. This election corresponds to claims 83-122. While the Examiner indicated that claims 1-6, 8, 9 and 12-17 are generic, Applicants have chosen to pursue claims 83-122 at this time. These claims read on the elected embodiment. Therefore, Applicants hereby cancel claims 1-82. Amendments have been made to claims 83, 84, 89, 93-95, 98 and 102-104 to correct informalities.

Examination of the above-identified claims is respectfully requested. If a phone conference is believed necessary to resolve any outstanding issues with respect to the above discussion, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned attorney at 612-677-9050.

Respectfully submitted,

Gust H. Bardy et al.

By their Attorney,

Dated: February 12, 2004



J. Scot Wickhem, Reg. No. 41,376
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC
1221 Nicollet Avenue, Suite 800
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403-2420
Telephone: (612) 677-9050
Facsimile: (612) 359-9349